

Official Factum Score Sheet

Team #:		Party (check one):	H	Appellants Respondent		Raw Score:		/100
Judge's comments:								
 Instructions: Each factum judge shall, in his or her sole discretion, give each factum a raw score out of 100. 								
When judgii	ng Respondents' f	factums, factum judges shall take in			ents' couns	el have ha	d the opportu	nity to see and
learn from the Appellants' factums.Factum judges shall not adjudicate or assess any penalties against factums.								
 Completed factum score sheets shall be disclosed to the teams concerned after the competition. Scoring guide: 								
• 90-100: outstanding; 80-89: excellent; 75-79: very good; 70-74: good; 60-70: fair; 50-59: poor; 0-49: unacceptable.								
Ontional Searing Workshoot								
Optional Scoring Worksheet This worksheet identifies various characteristics of effective written advocacy. Judges may use it as a scoring aid.								
Scor Element	res should be I	based on a holistic assessme	nt; ı	no particular weight is a	assigned		dual eleme 's notes	nts.
	Does this section s	state clearly and concisely what the	case	is about and why the party	should	Juage	3 110163	
	onvince the court	that ruling for the party will be legal						
Statement of Facts . Does this section demonstrate that the factual elements necessary for the relief sought are present (or not, as may be the case for the Respondent); tell a story that shows that ruling in the party's favour is the just thing to do; present only the facts relevant to the proceeding; and present them in a logical way that supports a suitably chosen central theme or themes that correspond(s) to the central issue(s) in the appeal?								
		this section state the questions in is y the Respondent's position with res						
most important product of exha principles and p the applicable la difficult points, generalization a is reviewable an	issues; present or austive legal resea policies, their inter- aw as clearly as pr less to minor poin and undue abstracted on what standa	show the court how to reach the re- nly the strongest arguments, in an carch; demonstrate a thorough underse- relations and implications; make ef- racticable and apply it to the pertinents; exhibit originality and creativity action; show whether and how the co- ard, and the effect of the error; and a responsive position?	order stand fectivent fa while ourt b	likely to persuade; represent ling of the relevant issues, ruve use of relevant authorities cts; devote more space to make respecting existing law; avour elow went wrong, whether the	t the ules, ; state nore id over- he error			
Order Sought. Does this section state the order sought exactly and concisely, in language that could be inserted directly into the order or judgment?								
weaknesses understatenDoes it effer side?Is it written	s of the party's ow ment? ctively capture the clearly, concisely,	ir and honest with the facts, authori on case? Does it avoid overstatemen e "moral high ground" by showing the operfluity? Does it state each point be	nt and hat fa active	I make effective use of the pairness and justice are on the language where possible, ar	ower of e party's			
first" writing	g)? Does it make o	effective use of headings (e.g. to ex re use of transitions? Is it free of typ	(hort	? Is it well organized, cohere	ent and			
		Judge's Name						